

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/672,450	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAGLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL G. MARIAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) MICHAEL J. MEDLEY.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2005

**Time:** 12:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1, 10, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Peterson(6,411,742)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants have agreed to amend each of the independent claims (See the Examiner's Amendment for details).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL MIRIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)